

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + C_1 n + C_2 n \\
 &= 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + C n \quad \leftarrow C = C_1 + C_2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n) \\
 &= 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Theta(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n C \cdot n = \overbrace{Cn + Cn + \dots + Cn}^n = Cn^2 = \Theta(n^2)$$

We tend not to write

$\Theta\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$ since it's the

same as $\Theta(n)$

Let's compare growth rates of
Some common functions

$$\frac{3}{2}n^2 + 7n - 4 \text{ vs } 8n^2 \quad \Theta(n^2)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{3}{2}n^2 + 7n - 4}{8n^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3n + 7}{16n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3}{16}$$

L'Hopital's Rule $= \frac{3}{16}$

$$\frac{\frac{3}{2}n^2}{8n^2} = \frac{3}{16}$$

$\log_2 n$ vs \sqrt{n}

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_2 n}{\sqrt{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\ln 2}}{\frac{1}{2} n^{-1/2}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{(\ln 2)n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{\ln 2 \cdot \sqrt{n}}$$

$= 0$

$\log_2 n$ is asymptotically slower growing than \sqrt{n}

$$\log_2 n < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}$$

$$\log_a n = \frac{\log_b n}{\log_b a}$$

constant

$$\log_a n = \Theta(\log_b n)$$

$$\log_b n = \Theta(\log_a n)$$

constant

\ln $\log_e n$
 $\log_2 n$
 $\log_{10} n$

any
constant

$$\log_2 n = \frac{\ln n}{\log_e 2}$$

Common to not show any base
for log when using asymptotic
notation since it has no meaning

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n) = \Theta(n \log n)$$

Definition of O

$f(n) = O(g(n))$ if \exists constants c, n_0

$$\forall n \geq n_0 \quad f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$$

I'll refer to this as the
constant hidden in the
asymptotic notation

Suppose we designed 5 algs for
closest pair alg

~~Alg 1 $\Theta(n^2)$~~

Alg 2 $\Theta(n \log n)$

~~Alg 3 $\Omega(n^2)$~~

~~Alg 4 $\Theta(n^3)$~~

Alg 5 $\Theta(n \log n)$

these are
not going
to scale
well with
 n

Which alg do we want to use?

For alg 2+5 we can do
more careful analysis to
find constant $\Theta(n \log n)$

For both + there's a
reasonable ($\approx > 10\%$) difference
then we can pick the
one with smaller constant

In the end you may choose to implement & test algs 2 & 5.

logarithmic linear quadratic cubic

$\log_2 n$	\sqrt{n}	n	$n \log_2 n$	n^2	n^3
0	1.0	1	0	1	1
1	1.4	2	2	4	8
2	2.0	4	8	16	64
3	2.8	8	24	64	512
4	4.0	16	64	256	4,096
5	5.7	32	160	1,024	32,768
6	8.0	64	384	4,096	262,144
7	11.3	128	896	16,384	2,097,152
8	16.0	256	2,048	65,536	16,777,216
9	22.6	512	4,608	262,144	134,217,728
10	32.0	1,024	10,240	1,048,576	1,073,741,824
11	45.3	2,048	22,528	4,194,304	8,589,934,592
12	64.0	4,096	49,152	16,777,216	68,719,476,736

Comparison
between
standard
mathematical
functions

Divide-and-Conquer Algorithms

Note Title

9/3/2007

1. Divide - divide problem into subproblems (smaller input for same problem)
2. Conquer - recursively solve subproblems (or directly solve when input reaches a termination condition)
3. Combine - use subproblem sols to solve given problem